Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,583	WACHTER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Roger L Pang	3681
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Roger L Pang</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Renke.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
, ,		
Claims discussed: 5 and 16		
Prior art documents discussed: an examiner's amendment was agreed upon that would co	ancel claim 16 due to the possibili	ly of producing a hybrid species.
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	Γ WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep 	on. The examiner will provide a	a written summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief su		
	•	
ROGER PANG PRIMARY EXAMINE:		
	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)